## Los Angeles Mission College Distance Education Technical Review Rubric of New Online Course Shell (revised 3-27-14)

Located at: http://amission.edu/de/shell-review.docx CoSci 407

### I.COURSE OVER VIEW AND INTRODUCTION

General Review Standard: The overall design of the course, navigational information, as well as course, instructor and student information are made transparent to the student at the beginning of the course.

Review Standards	Specifics	Comments
I.1 There is a statement introducing the student to the course.	<ul> <li>Welcoming statement by the instructor that introduces students to the course. Examples:</li> <li>A course "tour".</li> <li>Clear directions about how to get started in the</li> </ul>	The majority of information is addressed on the Home page. The email address is not linked on the home
	<ul> <li>Clear directions about how to get started in the course.</li> <li>The instructor's name</li> <li>Instructor's field of expertise</li> <li>Email address</li> <li>Teaching philosophy</li> <li>Past experiences with teaching online classes</li> <li>Hobbies</li> <li>A photograph</li> </ul>	page. It can be found under Pages, View All Pages, Instructor Contact. The instructor's field of expertise is included in her biography under the People navigation tab.
I.2 Course layout is easy to navigate and understand.		There is a lot of information missing on the Canvas syllabus including: grading scale, disability, mental health resources, plagiarism, and student conduct. Expected participation by students in weekly course activities are indicated in the Home page.

**DE Review 10-10-13** – syllabus not posted under syllabus section, need more information on faculty bio, degrees, past teaching, teaching philosophy, office hours online, provide a course tour, how to login to canvas, how to navigate class, getting started navigation and orientation, online readiness tutorials, provide course communication policies, feedback, when and how given, how often, return of emails, more detailed welcome message including about the class, projects and expectations – provided model course outline for faculty to review - Please note that Dept. Chair prepared and reviewed the annotated shell review rubric on several occasions – see above comments, and corrections/improvements made by faculty.

1.3 Expectations regarding academic honesty, including plagiarism concerns, are clearly stated in the syllabus.	At a minimum, the course syllabus must contain a statement that explains the actions that will be taken if plagiarism or any type of academic dishonesty is detected.	Rules of contact is covered on the Home page. Suggestion: Syllabus needs to be redone to include important items listed by the Board, grading rationale, SLO, student conduct, link to her sub-pages i.e. course policy and instructor contact.
I.4 Students are requested to introduce themselves to the class.	<ul> <li>The student introduction creates a supportive learning environment and sense of community.</li> <li>Look for: <ul> <li>A request that students introduce themselves along with instructions on where and how they should do so</li> <li>Instructors may ask students to answer specific questions (such as why they are taking the course ,what concerns they have, what they expect to learn,) or may choose to let the student decide.</li> <li>Instructors should consider providing an example of an introduction and/or start the process by introducing themselves.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Student introductions are not listed as an assignment. The instructor provided an introduction for herself.

## II. STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

**General Review Standard:** Expected learning outcomes are clearly defined and explained. They assist the learner to focus on learning objectives.

**DE Review 10-10-13** – syllabus not posted under syllabus section, need more information on faculty bio, degrees, past teaching, teaching philosophy, office hours online, provide a course tour, how to login to canvas, how to navigate class, getting started navigation and orientation, online readiness tutorials, provide course communication policies, feedback, when and how given, how often, return of emails, more detailed welcome message including about the class, projects and expectations – provided model course outline for faculty to review - Please note that Dept. Chair prepared and reviewed the annotated shell review rubric on several occasions – see above comments, and corrections/improvements made by faculty.

II.1 The posted outcomes of the course	All outcomes from the approved course outline must be SI	LOs are not on the syllabus.
include the outcomes in the approved	presentation the syllabus of the course being reviewed.	
course outline. Any additional outcomes		
used are observable, measurable, and		
achievable.		

## III. ASSESSMENT AND MEASUREMENT

**General Review Standard:** Assessment strategies are established ways to measure effective learning, assess learner progress by reference to stated objectives, and are designed as essential to the learning process.

Review Standards	Specifics	Comments
III.1 Multiple methods of assessment	Example that DOES meet the	Students assessment includes: Homework,
measure the achievement of stated course	standard:	Lab, Debug Ex. Quizzes, Discussions and
outcomes and learning objectives.	• Students are required to write papers, interact	Tests.
	with others through electronic discussions,	
	take quizzes, etc.	
	Example that does NOT:	
	• Students are required to take tests and no other	
	method of assessment is utilized	
III.2 The grading policy is easy to locate	• The grading policy needs to be easy to locate	The grading rationale is not listed on the
and understand.	and access.	syllabus or under Grades navigation button
	• Language used to describe how course activities are graded and how the final grade is	on Canvas.
	calculated, should be easy to understand.	
III.3 Assessment and measurement	Students learn more effectively if they receive frequent,	This course uses MindTap from Cengage,
strategies provide appropriate feedback	meaningful, and rapid feedback.	which will provide automatic feedback on
to the learner.	This feedback may come from the instructor directly,	homework. Instructor mentioned that she
	from assignments and assessments that have feedback	nomework, instructor mentioned that she

**DE Review 10-10-13** – syllabus not posted under syllabus section, need more information on faculty bio, degrees, past teaching, teaching philosophy, office hours online, provide a course tour, how to login to canvas, how to navigate class, getting started navigation and orientation, online readiness tutorials, provide course communication policies, feedback, when and how given, how often, return of emails, more detailed welcome message including about the class, projects and expectations – provided model course outline for faculty to review – Please note that Dept. Chair prepared and reviewed the annotated shell review rubric on several occasions – see above comments, and corrections/improvements made by faculty.

<ul> <li>built into them, or even from other students. Examples:</li> <li>Instructor participation in a discussion assignment.</li> <li>Writing assignments that require submission of a draft for instructor comment and suggestions for improvement.</li> <li>Self-mastery tests and quizzes that include informative feedback with each answer choice.</li> <li>Interactive games and simulation that have feedback built in.</li> </ul>	will regularly monitor discussions and post comments.
In most online courses, the types of assessments use dare appropriate for the online environment. Assume that the course meets the standard unless you find evidence to the contrary.	

## IV. RESOURCES AND MATERIALS

**General Review Standard:** Instructional materials are designed to be sufficiently comprehensive to achieve expected learning outcomes and are prepared by qualified persons competent in their fields. (Materials, other than standard textbooks are produced by recognized publishers, are prepared by the instructor or distance educators skilled in preparing materials for distance learning.)

Review Standards	Specifics	Comments
IV.1 Resources and materials are easily	If some of the course resources, including textbooks,	All resources are available online.
accessible to and usable by the learners.	videos, CD-ROMS, etc., are unavailable within the frame	
	work of the course website, investigate how students	
	would gain access to them, and examine their ease of use.	
	Examples:	
	• If textbooks and/or CD's are used, titles, authors,	
	publishers, ISBN numbers, copyright dates, and	
	information as to where copies can be obtained, are	
	listed.	

**DE Review 10-10-13** – syllabus not posted under syllabus section, need more information on faculty bio, degrees, past teaching, teaching philosophy, office hours online, provide a course tour, how to login to canvas, how to navigate class, getting started navigation and orientation, online readiness tutorials, provide course communication policies, feedback, when and how given, how often, return of emails, more detailed welcome message including about the class, projects and expectations – provided model course outline for faculty to review – Please note that Dept. Chair prepared and reviewed the annotated shell review rubric on several occasions – see above comments, and corrections/improvements made by faculty.

	<ul> <li>An area on the syllabus is devoted to required resources.</li> <li>Required software plug- ins are listed, along with instructions for obtaining and installing the plug-ins.</li> </ul>	
IV.1 Resources and materials are easily	If some of the course resources, including textbooks,	
accessible to and usable by the learners.	videos, CD-ROMS, etc., are unavailable within the	
	framework of the course website, investigate how students	
	would gain access to them, and examine their ease of use.	
	Examples:	
	• If textbooks and/or CD's are used, titles, authors,	
	publishers, ISBN numbers, copyright dates, and	
	information as to where copies can be obtained, are	
	listed.	
	• An area on the syllabus is devoted to required resources.	
	<ul> <li>Required software plug- ins are listed, along with instructions f\ or obtaining and installing the</li> </ul>	
	plug-ins.	

## **V. LEARNER INTERACTION**

**General Review Standard:** The effective design of instructor-learner interaction and meaningful learner cooperation is essential to learner motivation, intellectual commitment, and personal development.

	Review Standards	Specifics	Comments
--	------------------	-----------	----------

**DE Review 10-10-13** – syllabus not posted under syllabus section, need more information on faculty bio, degrees, past teaching, teaching philosophy, office hours online, provide a course tour, how to login to canvas, how to navigate class, getting started navigation and orientation, online readiness tutorials, provide course communication policies, feedback, when and how given, how often, return of emails, more detailed welcome message including about the class, projects and expectations – provided model course outline for faculty to review – Please note that Dept. Chair prepared and reviewed the annotated shell review rubric on several occasions – see above comments, and corrections/improvements made by faculty.

V.1The course design provides learning activities to foster instructor- student, content-student, and if appropriate, student-student interaction.	<ul> <li>The learning activities in the course should foster the following types of interaction:</li> <li>Instructor-learner: Self- introduction; discussion postings and responses; feedback on assignments; evidence of one-to-one email communication, etc.</li> <li>Learner-content: essays, term papers, group projects, etc. based on readings, videos, and other course content; self-assessment exercises; group work products, etc.</li> <li>-learner(if appropriate):Self-introduction exercise; group discussion postings; group projects; peer critiques, etc.</li> </ul>	The instructor will use chat rooms and Canvas conversations for Instructor - learner and student-student interaction. These are weekly scheduled events.
V.2The student requirements for course interaction are clearly articulated	<ul> <li>A clear statement of requirements is particularly important when a type of interaction(e.g. participation in a discussion) is not optional. What are the penalties for non- participation? Impact on grade, etc.?</li> <li>Example: Students required to participate in discussions are told how many times each week they must post original comments, how many times they must post responses to other's comments, what the quality of the comments must be, how the comments will be evaluated, and what grade credit they can expect for various levels of performance.</li> </ul>	The penalties for non-participation is covered on the Course Policies page accessible through the Pages navigation tab.

**DE Review 10-10-13** – syllabus not posted under syllabus section, need more information on faculty bio, degrees, past teaching, teaching philosophy, office hours online, provide a course tour, how to login to canvas, how to navigate class, getting started navigation and orientation, online readiness tutorials, provide course communication policies, feedback, when and how given, how often, return of emails, more detailed welcome message including about the class, projects and expectations – provided model course outline for faculty to review – Please note that Dept. Chair prepared and reviewed the annotated shell review rubric on several occasions – see above comments, and corrections/improvements made by faculty.

V.3 Clear standards are set for instructor response and availability (turn around time for email, grades posted, etc.)	<ul> <li>quickly the instructor will respond and how frequently he or she is available.</li> <li>Informing students about instructor response and availability times prevents unreasonable expectations from developing.</li> </ul>	The instructor will respond to students within 24 - 36 hours. This is covered in the Welcome Letter. Suggestion: Setup a grade book that students can view real time.
V.4The course design provides a variety of opportunities for interaction between instructor and learner.	<ul> <li>Students need to feel that the instructor is close at hand, but the opportunities for interaction will vary with the discipline of the course.</li> <li>Look for examples such as: <ul> <li>An actively used and well-organized instructor-facilitated discussion board.</li> <li>Optional "electronic office hours" provided in the chat room.</li> <li>An invitation for the class to email the instructor with individual concerns</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Instructor has office hours, Skype, Canvas conversations and email available for student - instructor communication.

# VI. COURSE TECHNOLOGY

General Review Standard: To enhance student learning, course technology should enrich instruction and foster learner interactivity.

Review Standards	Specifics	Comments
VI.1 The selection and use of tools and	• Look for evidence that tools and media used	Tasks per week include what video to
media supports the course outcomes and is integrated with texts and lesson	11	view, what online homework assignment
assignments.	and are integrated with texts and lesson assignments.	to complete, and what online quiz to
	• Students should know how the tools and media fit	take.
	into the assignments and how they relate to the	

**DE Review 10-10-13** – syllabus not posted under syllabus section, need more information on faculty bio, degrees, past teaching, teaching philosophy, office hours online, provide a course tour, how to login to canvas, how to navigate class, getting started navigation and orientation, online readiness tutorials, provide course communication policies, feedback, when and how given, how often, return of emails, more detailed welcome message including about the class, projects and expectations – provided model course outline for faculty to review - Please note that Dept. Chair prepared and reviewed the annotated shell review rubric on several occasions – see above comments, and corrections/improvements made by faculty.

VI.2Instructions for accessing course- required resources at a distance library, websites, etc.)are clear and easy to follow.	<ul> <li>course outcomes.</li> <li>Make sure that the technology is not used simply for the sake of using technology.</li> <li>For example: A course might require viewing video materials, but it may not be clear whether some of the video materials illustrate or support any course outcome.</li> <li>Online students need to know about and be able to obtain access to educational resources by remote access</li> </ul>	All resources are available online.
	• Information on these resources should be readily visible in an online class; clear instructions on how to access them should be provided.	

## VII. ADA AND COPYRIGHT COMPLIANCE

**General Review Standard**: Access to course resources is in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and U.S. copyright laws are followed.

Review Standards	Specifics	Comments
III.1 There is evidence of effort to recognize the importance of ADA requirements (such as equivalent alternatives to Auditory and visual content, Web Page links that are self-describing and meaningful, and information conveyed on Web pages in color is also available without color.		Online resources are provided by Canvas and MindTap are ADA compliant.

**DE Review 10-10-13** – syllabus not posted under syllabus section, need more information on faculty bio, degrees, past teaching, teaching philosophy, office hours online, provide a course tour, how to login to canvas, how to navigate class, getting started navigation and orientation, online readiness tutorials, provide course communication policies, feedback, when and how given, how often, return of emails, more detailed welcome message including about the class, projects and expectations – provided model course outline for faculty to review - Please note that Dept. Chair prepared and reviewed the annotated shell review rubric on several occasions – see above comments, and corrections/improvements made by faculty.

	<ul> <li>Presenting information in text format is generally acceptable, because</li> <li>Screen reader software(used by those who are visually impaired)can read text.</li> <li>All file names and web hyperlinks should have Meaningful names. For instance, the link to take a quiz should say "Take Quiz1", not "click here".</li> <li>Icons used as links should also have HTML tags or an accompanying text link.</li> <li>To accommodate students who are color blind, color text should also be identifiable by other methods(such also be identifiable by other methods(such</li></ul>	Meaningful names for assignments and hyperlinks are used.
VIII.2 No apparent violations of Copyright law exist within the course content or related 3Materials	<ul> <li>as bold, italics, etc.)</li> <li>Self-explanatory</li> </ul>	All resources are provided by the publisher.

Modified from <sup>1</sup>Adapted from the Quality Matters Project sponsored by The Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education(FIPSE),U.S. Department of Education

**DE Review 10-10-13** – syllabus not posted under syllabus section, need more information on faculty bio, degrees, past teaching, teaching philosophy, office hours online, provide a course tour, how to login to canvas, how to navigate class, getting started navigation and orientation, online readiness tutorials, provide course communication policies, feedback, when and how given, how often, return of emails, more detailed welcome message including about the class, projects and expectations – provided model course outline for faculty to review – Please note that Dept. Chair prepared and reviewed the annotated shell review rubric on several occasions – see above comments, and corrections/improvements made by faculty.